

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/527,655 | Applicant(s) MINER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Humera N. Sheikh | Art Unit 1615 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Humera N. Sheikh.

(3) _____.

 (2) Brian C. Kunzler.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2007
Time: 4 pm (EST)
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

 Rejection(s) discussed:
of record

 Claims discussed:
of record (1-20)

 Prior art documents discussed:
of record
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 9/26/07
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Examiner proposed the following claim amendments, which would place the application in condition for allowance: (1) To incorporate the term "chelated" before the term 'silver ion' in independent claim 6, line 9 (to recite chelated silver ion); (2) To incorporate the percentage amounts of chelated silver ion, EDTA, sodium polypectate and glycerine from independent claim 6 into independent method claim 14; (3) To cancel claims 1-5 and 9-13, which Examiner indicated demonstrates an unpatentable generic concept, which Applicants can refile in a separate application. Applicant's representative agreed and accepted the proposed claim amendments made by the Examiner. Applicant's representative authorized the Examiner to conduct an Examiner's Amendment making the necessitated claim amendments, which would render the application allowable. A Notice of Allowability will be issued in due course.